Spring Meeting and Chairmanship Hand-over June 2016

Minutes (draft)

1. Tour de table

2. Rolling action list

2.1 outreach

newsletter of Talbot

Action (Lorant): to send out a one pager

Standard powerpoint

Action (Fabio/Stefan): prepare draft

2.2 Damage tagging

Activity is on-going

Discussion on the relevance (first: damage density; second: building blocks; third: building level); the best deposit for damages mapped would be HOTSOM

Action (Blake): follow-up by dedicated telecon

2.3 Guidelines

version 2.0 published

Action (Peter): review spring 2017; revision document to be set up

EQ chapter

outline done

Outline draft available (google doc); now open for the expert group to edit;

The objective is to come up with common EQ damage scales (example: comparison of Fabio related to Equador EQ mapping)

Benchmarking exercise (manual, semi-automatic): data set, competition details; talk to Dirk/Stefan at Z_GIS

Action (Lorant): to lead drafting/editing

2.4 membership criteria

Action (Lorant): to be updated on the website

2.5 website

Action (Peter): page to be removed from GDACS

3. Review of geoRSS

Link on the IWG-SEM website to aggregator (phase 1: point information completed; phase 2: polygons still to come)

More institutions to join the present (Copernicus, ZKI, Charter, Sertit)

Jan: geoJSan implementation; the question of update; the polygons are already provided by Cop EMS and can be accessed; can others follow?

Action (Peter): Jan to be reminded by August for the September meeting; Jan to send message to other organization to sent geoRSS

Close Day 1

Day 2:

4. Communication protocol during emergencies

Stefan: No coordination, collaboration; facilitation for better coordination; benefits: avoid overlap, sharing information, get expert advice

Trigger mechanism: Charter activations, GDACS information re international assistance, all members of the group can trigger, more than one mapping mechanism is active,

Action (Stefan): organize telecon, take minutes

Break 10:25

5. Damage tagging on OSM

Since 2010 OSM is doing damage tagging; objectives: uniform scheme; Blake suggests: minor, major, totally destroyed; problem: OSM wants to clean the damage tags up once time goes on.

HOTSOM feels responsible to monitor recovery / reconstruction; support needed: updated imagery. Using e.g. DG Metro Product (regular coverage of densly populated areas). For the damage follow-up more restricted licencing would be sufficient.

Validation of damage data before they are entered in OSM.

Up-loading of vector data from EMS to OSM. Protocol to be worked out.

Criteria to be consolidated by sub-group in the next weeks.

Discussion of the BAR methodology (Havard Humanitarian Centre)

Possibly – Potential damage category instead of minor

6. AOB

Telecon in July before Summer Break

7. Handover of Chair from Lorant to Peter