The use of satellite data for drought monitoring & food security in Ukraine in the context of climate change

<u>Sergii Skakun^{1,2}</u>, Nataliia Kussul^{1,2}, Felix Kogan³, Tatiana Adamenko⁴, Oleksii Kravchenko¹, Andrii Shelestov^{1,2,5}, Olga Kussul², Andrii Kolotii^{1,2}

¹Space Research Institute NASU-NSAU
 ²National Technical University of Ukraine "KPI"
 ³National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
 ⁴Ukrainian Hydrometeorological Center
 ⁵National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine

Under support of the US CRDF grant "Analysis of climate change & food security based on remote sensing & in situ data sets" (no. UKB2-2972-KV-09)



Content

- Objective of the study
- Climate in Ukraine
- Methodology
 - VHI for drought monitoring
 - Empirical regression models
 - Crop growth simulation model (WOFOST)
- Results
- Discussion & conclusions





<KД

Objective of the study

- The two main components of crop production monitoring are
 - crop yield forecasting and
 - crop area estimation.
- Accurate crop yield forecasts several months in advance of the harvest is crucial at global, national and region scale
- Yield is an indicator of droughts
- Ukraine
 - 8th largest exporter and 10th largest producer of wheat in the world in 2011, world leader producer of sunflower oil
- Objectives
 - to assess relative efficiency of using satellite data for winter wheat yield forecasting for Ukraine at oblast level
 - to compare performance of regression models to crop growth simulation

1960-2010 Average Annual Temperature (deg C) - Mean for UKRAINE



1960-2010 Total Annual Precipitation (mm) - Mean for UKRAINE

Annual **42.5** Warm **39.5** Cold **-23.0**

P/50y growth (mm)

<u>GLOBAL</u> <u>No Change</u>

CKA



Snow Depth (a), Abs Min Winter T in Deg & % Winter Wheat Kill Area (c), UKRAINE



Dynamics: Drought Detection & Monitoring 2010, RUSSIA, UKRAINE

Vegetation Health



Vegetation Condition in 2010 from AVHRR's NOAA-18

<u>The method</u> is based on estimation of green canopy stress/no stress from indices, characterizing moisture (M) and thermal (T) conditions of vegetation canopy (Kogan 1990, 1997). These conditions are derived from the reflectance/emission in the red (R), near infrared (NIR) and infrared (IR, 10.3-11.3 µm) parts of solar spectrum measured by the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) flown on the NOAA afternoon polar-orbiting satellites since 1981.

Percent Drought Area & Intensit 2001-2011



<KA

Existing approaches to yield forecasting



Empirical models

- connect crop yield with some selected predictors (vegetation indices, meteorological observations)
- Pros
 - require little data inputs
 - easy to implement
- <u>Cons</u>
 - lack robustness and generalization ability
 - data-driven, i.e. their performance strongly depends on available datasets

Crop growth models

- simulate the growth of crops to retrieve biophysical crop parameters such as crop production, biomass, water use, etc.
- <u>Pros</u>
 - quite generic and robust
- <u>Cons</u>

<K<u>I</u>

- numerous input parameters to run the model
- proper adaptation still needed



Data used



- MOD13 product (NDVI) at the 250 m resolution for 2000-2011
- ESA Global Land Cover map (GlobCover) at the 300 m resolution for 2008
- Monthly meteorological observations from
 180 stations in Ukraine for 2000-2011
- Official statistics of winter wheat yield for Ukraine at oblast level for 2000-2011





Methodology

- Empirical regression-based model that uses as a predictor 16-day NDVI composites derived from MODIS
 - NDVI averaged for oblasts by crop masks
 - trend eliminated from yield
 - robust regression
 - model specific for each oblast
- Adapted for Ukraine Crop Growth Monitoring System (CGMS) that is based on WOFOST crop growth simulation model and meteorological parameters [UHMI]





Methodology – cont'

- Empirical regression-based model that uses as predictors meteorological parameters
 - parameters
 - Maximum temperature
 - Minimum temperature
 - Average temperature
 - Precipitation
 - Soil moisture
 - 0-20 cm depth
 - Available for months: Sept, Oct, Apr, May, June
 - Non-linear multivariate regression Gaussian process



Efficiency assessment

- Cross-validation
 - leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV)
 - using a single observation from the original sample as the testing data, and the remaining observations as the training data
- Criteria

dКД

- RMSE on testing data RMSE =

$$E = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} (P_i - O_i)^2}$$

- *P_i* and *O_i* are predicted and observed winter wheat yields
- Relative efficiency Rel.eff. = $\frac{V(Y_{sample})}{V(Y_{satellite})} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i}(dY_{i})^{2}}{RMSE^{2}}$ - dY_{i} deviation from trend

Results for NDVI regression modelcont'

 Relative efficiency and coefficient of determination of the regression model for different agro-climatic zones averaged by oblasts

	Model 2000-2009		Model 2000-2010	
Agro-climatic zone	Rel. eff.	R-square	Rel. eff.	R-square
Plane-Polissya	1.182	0.479	1.177	0.433
Forest-Steppe	1.576	0.667	1.532	0.680
Steppe	1.883	0.804	1.894	0.796

<KA

Results for NDVI regression modelcont'



dКД



Comparison of models

- All three approaches were used to forecast winter wheat yield for independent data sets for 2010 and 2011,
 - i.e. on data that were not used within model calibration process

Model	RMSE for 2010, t ha ⁻¹	RMSE for 2011, t ha ⁻¹
MODIS NDVI (2000-2009)	0.794	0.585
MODIS NDVI (2000-2010)	-	0.625
Meteorological (2000-2009)	0.779	0.565
CGMS (2000-2009)	0.304	-
CGMS (2000-2010)	-	0.579



<KA

Comparison of models - cont



 Histogram of the RMSE values for winter wheat yield forecasting for 2010 (A) and 2011 (B)



Winter wheat forecast for 2012

• Operational forecasting of winter wheat yield Ukraine for 2012 based on Earth observation



(KA



Conclusions

- NDVI-based regression model was effective in producing yield forecasts in April-May, i.e. 2-3 months prior to harvest
- Relative efficiency of NDVI-based model was dependent on agro-climatic zones ranging from 1.2 to 1.9
- The sign of errors for all three approaches was the same
- Drought area in Ukraine does not experience any trend after 2000, although the last 50-year country average annual temperature increased 1.45°C (twice above the global increase)
- Total annual precipitation increased by 40 mm offsetting drought intensification due to a warmer climate.



E Constantino de la constant





Thank you!